## **Housing For Health**

**Continuum of Care Program Updates** 





Sheryl Norteye – Senior Analyst, Housing for Health Tony Gardner – CoC Consultant

# **2022 CoC Competition Recap**

- \$6.63 million total, most ever for Santa Cruz County!
- Includes highly competitive \$1.56 Million Supplemental Unsheltered NOFO award
- **21** total projects, new and renewal
- Funding trend upward!



Annual Total CoC Awards

\$6,625,943



## Highlights – 4 New Projects, 3 New Grantees!

#### **New Projects**

- Housing Matters Harvey West Studios PSH (Unsheltered NOFO award)
   Details: \$1,005,674 over 3 years, 13 households/13 persons, rental assistance
- Housing Matters River St. PSH Expansion (CoC NOFO award)
   Details: \$62,964 per year, 2 households/2 persons, rental assistance
- Monarch Services DV Bonus RRH (CoC NOFO DV Bonus)
   Details: \$105,567 per year, serve households/8 persons, rental assistance and supportive services
- Walnut Ave. DV Housing & Employment Expansion RRH (CoC NOFO CoC Bonus)
   Details: \$264,098 per year, 3 households/5 persons, rental assistance and supportive services

#### **New Grantees**

- Monarch new DV bonus winner!
- Walnut Avenue new CoC bonus winner (and DV bonus last year)!
- Covenant House taking over 2 YHDP RRH grants, welcome to Santa Cruz County!

What are new grantees and new projects excited about?



# **2022 CoC Scoring Summary**

Scoring Category	Maximum Points	Santa Cruz CoC Points
Coordination & Engagement–Inclusive Structure/Participation	5	5
Coordination & Engagement–Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private & Other Organizations	29	24.5
Coordination and Engagement–Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private & Other Organizations (cont.)	49	38.5
Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition	30	27.5
Homeless Management Information System (HMIS)	9	6
Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	5	4
System Performance	59	40
Coordination with Housing and Healthcare	14	14
Total Score	200	159.5
Median CoC Total Score Nationally	154.5	



## Scoring Debrief – What Can We Improve?

Almost all losses were from data and performance factors reported out of HMIS:

- Lost 10 of 10 points for RRH beds due to reduction in RRH beds. Steps to improve:
  - □ Add RRH units/beds
  - Correct RRH count
- Lost 3 of 4 points for HMIS coverage based to too many beds not in HMIS. Steps to improve:
  - □ Increase HMIS usage
  - □ Remove Pajaro Rescue Mission from Santa Cruz County HIC
- Lost 8 of 13 points for length-of-time (LOT) homeless performance based upon increasing LOT.
   Steps to improve:
  - Provide more housing-focused case management
  - Provide more housing
- Lost 3 of 8 points for returns to homelessness performance due to increasing returns. Steps to improve:
  - □ (1) Provide more prevention



# **Unsheltered Scoring Debrief - Lessons**

- Scored 72 out of 100 possible
- Only 7 CoCs out of 44 CoCs in CA received unsheltered awards!
  - $\circ~$  Santa Clara County
  - $\circ$  Alameda County
  - o Contra Costa County
  - $\circ~$  Santa Cruz County!
  - $\circ~$  San Mateo County
  - $\circ~$  Los Angeles County
  - $\circ$  Long Beach
- One of only 3 suburban CoCs in CA to be funded (Contra Costa & San Mateo, too)! We were at a scoring disadvantage compared to urban and rural CoCs
- Super competitive and tough scoring most CoCs did not have good Plan for Unsheltered Homelessness



## **CoC Project Risk Assessments – Where We Are**

#### H4H Division recently completed CoC project risk assessments

- Part of new H4H Division project evaluation approach
- o Projects mostly scored Low or Medium Risk no further evaluations were recommended
- CoC process will ask about corrective steps for any issues noted mainly low spending, HMIS data gaps, late APRs

### Risk assessment process & tool assesses

- Performance/Reporting
- o Fiscal Compliance
- Program Management
- o Grants Management
- o HMIS Data
- Coordinated Entry
- Risk score thresholds
  - Low risk 0-15% of responses are "no"
  - Medium risk 16-40% of responses are "no"
  - High risk 41-100% of responses are "no"



## **Risk Assessment Example**

Ι	Risk Indicator	Source	No	Yes	N/A	Reviewer Comments	
Т	PERFORMANCE/REPORTING: The agency completed an	APR					
l	accurate APR and submitted it in a timely manner?			$\boxtimes$			
l	(Previous year)						
Γ	FISCAL COMPLIANCE: The agency completed and	Annual					
	submitted in a timely manner, an annual audit or A-133	audit					
	(if applicable) with no major findings? (Previous year)						
Ι	PERFORMANCE/REPORTING: The agency operates its	Local & E-					
	project using Housing First Principles and Low Barrier	snaps					
	approaches to engagement? (Previous year)	applications					
	PROGRAM: The agency has resolved all problems,	HUD					
	findings and/or concerns identified by H4H or HUD?	findings					
Γ	PROGRAM: This agency has experienced a stable	Local & E-					
	staffing pattern that ensures quality project continuity	snaps appl					
Γ	FISCAL: The agency submits timely quarterly financial	Local & E-					
	drawdowns for payment? (Previous year)	snaps appl					
Τ	PROGRAM: This agency accepts 100% of new clients for	Local & E-					
l	its housing project through CES (Previous year)	snaps appl					
Γ	DATA: This agency generally has good data quality as	APR				Data errors: SSN (14%),	
	defined by the HMIS Policies and Procedures?		$\boxtimes$			Race (7%), Disabling Cond.	
						(7%), and timeliness issues	
Γ	FISCAL COMPLIANCE: This agency has expended 95% or	APR & HUD				Expended only: 66% of	
	more of its project award? (Previous 2 years)	Spending	$\boxtimes$			\$ grant (2022) and only	
		Report				62% of \$ grant (2021)	
	Total Questions Total "No" Responses Total "Yes" Responses Total "N/A" Responses Total "No" Percentage Total "Yes" Percentage		9				
Ľ			2				
L			7				
			0				
Γ			22%				
			78%	]			
Γ	Total "N/A" Percentage Risk Level Based on "No" Percentage (Low, Medium, High)						
Γ				1			
ſ	0% - 15%		Low	No monitoring this year			
Γ	16% - 40% 41% - 100%			Possible monitoring this year - staff judgment			
⊢						ear - staff determination	



# 2023 ESG & CoC Funding - New Resources on the Way!

#### State ESG NOFA

- Estimate ESG NOFA release June or July 2023, deadline August 2023
- Estimated \$300,000 Santa Cruz County allocation
  - 50% for non-competitive RRH
  - 50% for competitive Emergency Shelter and RRH

#### HUD CoC NOFO

- Estimate CoC NOFO release in August or September 2023, deadline November 2023
- Likely similar to last year's NOFO
- Look for separate one-time competitive NOFO for PSH acquisition, construction, rehab funding
- Also, look for Youth Homelessness Systems Improvement funding opportunity
- Locally, look for the Funding Review Committee (formerly Review and Ranking Committee) to be more involved year-round in setting CoC and ESG funding policies and evaluation of projects



## **Rough 2023 Planning Framework/Timeline**

May – July	<ul> <li>H4H Partnership general meeting</li> <li>Funding Review Committee meeting #1</li> <li>State releases ESG NOFA</li> <li>H4H releases local ESG Request for Applications</li> <li>ESG application deadline for local review</li> <li>Funding Review Committee meeting #2</li> </ul>
August - October	<ul> <li>H4H Board meeting</li> <li>ESG deadline to State – applications &amp; CoC recommendations</li> <li>Funding Review Committee meeting #3</li> <li>HUD releases 2023 CoC NOFO</li> <li>H4H Board <i>special</i></li> <li>H4H releases Public Solicitation of CoC Applications and invitation to Applicant Orientation Session</li> <li>H4H holds Applicant Orientation Session</li> <li>Local CoC application deadline for rating and ranking</li> <li>H4H Board meeting</li> <li>Funding Review Committee meeting #4 (Project review and ranking)</li> <li>H4H Board <i>special</i> (Approve project ranking)</li> </ul>
November - December	<ul> <li>H4H Board <i>special</i> (Approve project ranking)</li> <li>HUD deadline CoC Application &amp; Project Priorities</li> </ul>